

# Measurement of coupling and interstrip capacitances in silicon microstrip sensors for the CBM experiment at FAIR\*

I. Sorokin<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Larionov<sup>1</sup>, and J. Heuser<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Uni Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Kiev Institute for Nuclear Research, Kiev, Ukraine

The main component of the future CBM experiment [1] at FAIR (Darmstadt, Germany) [2] is the Silicon Tracking System [3]. It is going to be based on double-sided silicon strip sensors. Among the important characteristics of silicon strip sensors are the coupling ( $C_c$ ) and the interstrip capacitances ( $C_{iImp}$ ,  $C_{iStrip}$ ), because they affect the signal amplitude [4, 5]. Measurement of these capacitances is therefore important both in the stage of detector prototyping and in the stage of series production for their quality assurance.

The coupling capacitance ( $C_c$ ), is the capacitance between a metal strip (“Metalisation” in Fig. 1) and the implant underneath.  $C_{iImp}$  — is the total capacitance of an implant to the neighboring metal strips, and  $C_{iStrip}$  — is the total capacitance of a metal strip to the neighboring metal strips. The capacitances were measured with a QuadTech 7600 precision LCR meter. To determine the coupling capacitance the test voltage (0.5 V) was applied to the selected implant, and the current, induced on the metal strip above, was measured. To measure  $C_{iImp}$ , the test voltage was applied to an implant, the metal strip above the implant was grounded, and the current was picked from the neighboring metal strips (three on each side). For  $C_{iStrip}$  measurement the test voltage was applied to a metal strip, and the current was picked up from the neighboring metal strips (three on each side).

In the measurements of  $C_c$  and  $C_{iImp}$  the observed values decrease at high frequencies (example in Fig. 2) because the resistance of the implant (which is on the order of 100 kΩ/cm) becomes comparable to, or larger than the impedance of the measured capacitance, thus the test signal does not propagate along the whole implant length [5, 6]. Correct values for  $C_c$  and  $C_{iImp}$  are obtained only at low frequencies.

The obtained values (shown in the table below) were applied to estimate the expected signal amplitude [5]. The developed measurement techniques will be used for further characterization and quality assurance of the sensors.

| Sensor, side | $C_c$ , pF/cm  | $C_{iImp}$ , pF/cm | $C_{iStrip}$ , pF/cm |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| CBM02, n     | $37.8 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.5$      | $1.4 \pm 0.5$        |
| CBM02, p     | $34.7 \pm 0.5$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$      | $2.2 \pm 0.5$        |
| CBM03', p    | $17.2 \pm 0.5$ | $1.0 \pm 0.5$      | $1.5 \pm 0.5$        |

\* Work supported by HIC4FAIR, HGS-HIRe, and H-QM Helmholtz research school




Figure 1: Schematic view of one side of a silicon microstrip sensor.




Figure 2: Coupling capacitance as a function of frequency in the CBM03' sensor.

## References

- [1] <http://www.fair-center.eu/for-users/experiments/cbm.html>
- [2] <http://www.fair-center.eu/>
- [3] Technical Design Report for the CBM Silicon Tracking System (STS), GSI, Darmstadt (2013)
- [4] C. Bozzi, Signal-to-Noise Evaluations for the CMS Silicon Microstrip Detectors, CMS Note 1997/026, 1997
- [5] I. Sorokin, Characterization of silicon micro-strip sensors, front-end electronics, and prototype tracking detectors for the CBM experiment at FAIR, submitted as dissertation thesis, Frankfurt am Main.
- [6] E. Barberis, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A342 90-95 (1994)