Observation of Unbound States in ^{16}Ne / ^{15}Ne via 1n- / 2n-Knockout on ^{17}Ne *

F. Wamers[1,2,3], *J. Marganiec*[2,3,1], *T. Aumann*[2,3], *L. Chulkov*[4,3], *M. Heil*[3], *B. Jonson*[5], *R. Plag*[3,6], *H. Simon*[3], *and the R^{3}B Collaboration*

[1]ExtreMe Matter Institute @ GSI; [2]Technische Universität Darmstadt; [3]Nuclear Reactions and Astrophysics @ GSI; [4]NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow; [5]Chalmers Tekniska Högskola, Göteborg; [6]Goethe Universität, Frankfurt

In recent years, experiments investigating the driplines have unearthed rich evidence on the peculiarities of the nuclear force, in particular those connected to weak binding and large proton-neutron asymmetry. While for very neutron-rich systems, e.g., various manifestations for 1n and 2n halo systems are found, the existence of the dripline is known only up to $Z = 8$. Quite reversed due to the additional presence of the Coulomb barrier, the proton dripline is sharp and known up to $Z = 91$, whereas halo formation is suppressed and just a few case exist. In the recent past we have investigated proton-knockout reactions studying the borromean 2p-halo nucleus ^{17}Ne [1, 2], and here we have used the dataset from the same experiment as a stepping stone to reach beyond the proton dripline and explore yet unknown regions of the nuclear landscape.



Fig. 1: Relative-energy (E_{fpp}) of ^{16}Ne (top) and ^{15}Ne (bottom), after 1n-/2n-knockout from ^{17}Ne projectiles.

* Work supported by the Spanish research agency CICYT under project FPA2009-07387,by the Helmholtz Alliance EMMI, by HIC for FAIR, by the GSI-TU Darmstadt cooperation agreement, and by the BMBF under contract number 05P12RDFN8. (B. J.) is a Helmholtz International Fellow.

This report presents data of 1n- and 2n- knockout reactions on ^{17}Ne projectiles in light targets (C, CH$_2$), populating states in the unbound nuclei ^{16}Ne and the yet unobserved ^{15}Ne. In a simple picture, the respective neutrons were removed from the ^{17}Ne core, ^{15}O, thus creating ^{14,13}O fragments coupled to the two remaining s^2/d^2 protons, all travelling under forward angles and being detected in coincidence. The data analysis procedure, via 4-momentum reconstruction and invariant-mass technique, is equivalent to the description in [1]. The excitation spectra, in terms of f-2p relative-energy spectra, of the ^{16}Ne and ^{15}Ne systems are shown in Fig. 1. The shown data (full dots with errorbars) have been corrected for experimental acceptance, and the peaks have been fitted by Coulomb-Breit-Wigner functions (dashed, dotted lines) folded with the experimental resolution, and in the case of ^{16}Ne in addition by a non-resonant background (full line). The experimental acceptance and the E_{rel} calibration and resolution have been obtained from R3BROOT-based simulations [2] in combination with the width and position of the known 5/2$^-$ state in the ^{15}O+2p continuum of ^{17}Ne as a reference.

For ^{16}Ne (^{14}O+2p) we have extracted the positions and widths of the ground state and the first two excited states as E_r(g.s.) = 1.388(15) MeV, Γ_r(g.s.) = 0.082(15) MeV; E_r(1.x.) = 3.22(5) MeV, Γ_r(1.x.) $\leq$ 0.05 MeV; E_r(2.x.) = 7.57(6) MeV, Γ_r(2.x.) $\leq$ 0.1 MeV. These values are in good agreement with previous publications on ^{16}Ne, e.g. [4], and confirm the validity of our technique and calibrations.

For the first time, the unbound isotope ^{15}Ne has been observed, as coincidences between ^{13}O and two beam-like protons (see Fig. 1(bottom)). The same type of analysis as for the ^{16}Ne case has been performed for the ^{15}Ne (E_{fpp}) spectrum. We identified the ground state and the first excited state with parameters of E_r(g.s.) = 2.522(66) MeV, Γ_r(g.s) = 0.59(23) MeV; E_r(1.x.) = 4.42(4) MeV, Γ_r(1.x.) $\leq$ 0.1 MeV. The observed position of the ^{15}Ne ground state at S_{2p} = -2.522(66)MeV is in good agreement to a recent model prediction [5].

References

[1] F. Wamers and the R^{3}B collab., GSI Scientific Report 2010
[2] F. Wamers and the R^{3}B collab., GSI Scientific Report 2011
[3] F. Wamers and the R^{3}B collab., GSI Scientific Report 2012
[4] I. Mukha et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 061301(R) (2009)
[5] H.T. Fortune, Phys. Lett. B 718, 1342 (2013)

doi:10.15120/GR-2014-1-NUSTAR-KR-02